The content of the Scientific Programme was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>106 (59%)</td>
<td>62 (34%)</td>
<td>11 (6%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td>41.88</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there any Parallel Sessions / Satellite Symposia to be maintained in the future ISMH congresses?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>148 (82%)</td>
<td>33 (18%)</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you wish to have in the future congresses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>more Invited Speakers</th>
<th>less Invited Speakers</th>
<th>more Oral presentations</th>
<th>less Oral presentations</th>
<th>more Poster Sessions</th>
<th>less Poster Sessions</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>86 (48%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>58 (32%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>24 (13%)</td>
<td>5 (3%)</td>
<td>31.45</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In relation to Poster Sessions, do you prefer posters to be in:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Printed form</th>
<th>E-poster form</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>66 (37%)</td>
<td>113 (63%)</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Would you agree to have common sessions with keynote speakers from other connected medical specialties as well?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>170 (95%)</td>
<td>9 (5%)</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which specific session of the Scientific Programme do you consider that it was the most useful?

Text Responses

the oral presentation of different specialities (C-V, neurorehab, prevention, thermal agents)

session IX
session IX
French session
prof Onose
neurological rehab
neurological rehabilitation
I CONSIDER ALL OF THEM TO BE USEFUL FOR MY FUTURE

session XIV
all
all
balneology
All physical therapy
All
The presentation
Orthotic devices in Neurorehabilitation
Session XII
balneologic session
Neurologic
peculiarties of physical therapy approach for children extrapyramidal syndrome

Yes
Yes
Section 14
all
all
All
20.05.2016
8.30-10.30.
N. Iorga
session I
Balneology
soulphurous water session
all
Orals presentations
Oral presentations
oral presentationes
Session from saturday, 21st may 2016.

session III
SESSION III
All sessions were usefull
session XII
Session I
Session III
OP144 - Multifactorial gait analysis in the physical and rehabilitation medicine of the gait disorders in children
all of them
XIV
Gelu Onose
Session VIII
all sessions
I think all of them were useful because each session brought some new information
.

Session V
SessionXII
Session VI
Session VII
Session III
SessionV
SessionX
Session VIII
Session VI
SessionV
Session IV
Session III
Session II
Session II
Session I
all
session 2
all sessions
sulphurous water study
Session I
Session XVI
session VIII
Balneology session
Poster sessions
Balneology and Healthy Lifestyle, Ethical, Economical and Legal issues
all
Balneology & Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine in rehabilitation in patient with neurological diseases
SESSION XIV - I
cases presentations
Oral presentations
Standing and walking rehabilitation in patient with hemiparesis
Session XV
Sessions I
plenary
19th of May - sessions II, IV, V
20th of May - sessions VIII, X, XII
21th of May - sessions XIV, XV
session IX and XIV
Advances in brain protection and recovery after stroke
Session 1
Session 2
neurological rehabilitation
Session 2

Session 2
dead sea prof.arari
SESSION III
SESSION III
For all the sessions were interesting reports. Good and bad presentations were mixed
SESSION III
Olga Surdu gelu Onose: findings regarding rel. bet. socio-demogr., psychol. & comorbidity factors & functional status in geriatric inpatients
X
all
french session
all
EVERGREEN BALNEOLOGY – THE ROMANIAN PARADIGM: INCEPTION AND THE WAY AHEAD
Balneology
Session III
all
Session III
session I
session 3- 19 may
All sessions were interesting
PLANTAR SUPPORT A NEW ASSESSING WAY IN SCOLIOTIC PATIENTS WITHOUT PODAL PRIMARY PATHOLOGY
Session III
Session 1
All
About Robotic Recovery
Neurologic session
Guided injection of Botulinum type A in cerebral palsy
session I
Session 7
scientific sessions from connected specialties
20th May 2016, 8:30-10:00, Session 7
All
Many interesting presentations, disseminated among all sessions.
Session 1
First 2 sessions
Session IX
Session 2
All Medical Hydrology and Climatology
Opening session followed by invited speakers
Session XV because of invited speaker Dafin Muresanu.
19th May, session II
I liked Allen!
all were interesting and useful
Balneology.
all of them were interesting and useful.
all
Have you received additional value as a learner for your future practice?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>174 (97%)</td>
<td>5 (3%)</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Was the 41st ISMH Congress well organized overall? How do you appreciate the organization of the Congress?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>103 (57%)</td>
<td>57 (32%)</td>
<td>17 (9%)</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
<td>38.91</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you have any suggestions for the future ISMH Congresses?

Text Responses

- no
- to gather more specialties (pediatrics, geriatrics, neurosurgery)
- no, i don't
- no
- no
- very good
- very good locations
- YES. MY OPINION IS THAT THE ISMH CONGRESS TO BE ORGANIZED MORE OFTEN.
- no
- no
- no
- No
- no
- No
- No
- No
- No
- no suggestions
- no
- no
- no more to come
- no
- No
- No
- no
- no
- no
- Good luck!
- none
- no
- none
- no
A better location for conducting the congress and better time management

No

No. Everything was very well organized.

No

No. Everything was great. (maybe some small technical problems)

-

No

no

No

No

No

No

No

No

Lunch included in the fee

No

No

Translation presentations through headphones

I wish the program should not exceed 6 hours daily

No

No

well-balanced sessions

No

No

no

more preliminary activities

no

none

Speakers should respect their allotted time for presentations.

no

to continue in the same mode

No

No

- - Parallel Sessions / Satellite Symposia to be maintained in the future ISMH congresses

- more Invited Speakers

NO


Sa fi în limba română

Increasing free speaking in presentations, in order to be more attentively!

Very well organized

Sa fie în limba română

chairman must take care as speakers finish their presentations in time (maybe using a timer)

no, just congratulations!

-

no

keep up the good work. congratulations

speakers should respect their allotted time for presentation. Chairman should enforce this.

no

Speakers should respect their allotted time for presentations. Chairman should enforce this.

organisation of the congress

NO

NO

No titles of session and the large heterogeneity of the topics was the problem.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROGRAM

practical exposures

no

Slides must be presented more clearly, in a dim lightening.

When any schedule is changed, the announcement should be posted in the slide in each hall.

very good

No

No

no

no

no

no

no

no.

No

no
No

No

I think it would have been better if there weren't so much presentation in 3 different rooms at the same time.
Starting time should be later.
encourage more participants
No, it was almost good. I have no suggestions for the future.
- Shorten sessions with more defined topics
- Try to keep time schedule better
But it was a wonderful congress: thank you so much for all the work done: we enjoyed it a lot!
Everything OK. Continuity!
Keep on the good job

Most and overall criteria selection for oral presentations. Posters can be support of everything - but not a 12 minutes show in front of respectable assembly. Cooperation with General Practitioners and Specialists in different fields as: rheumatology, dermatology, cardiology, orthopedics (neurology was well represented in this congress). As well special sessions related to Medical Tourism (Travel agents, Spas managers, Hotelers)
These are positive comments and remarks. I did enjoy very much the Congress and congratulate all the organizers for this very nice event.
Invited speakers from official representatives of each country participating in the Congress
I want to congratulate the main organiser Bid Munteanu for the excellent organisation of the congress. I was impressed because the scientific sessions were well balanced regarding the informations, the social events represented the specific of our nationality and in the end, this impressive international manifestation was a special occasion to interact with other colleagues from our field.
A suggestion would be to organise more such scientific events.

Congratulations for all team which made possible such outstanding event!
Thanks and Congratulations.
No!
better organised technical support for oral presenters.
No.
better organised technical support for oral presenters.
no
no
No.
more time for presentations
more time for preparing the congress
No